



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,268	06/23/2003		Deryck J. Williams	12557-011001	9137
26161	7590	03/28/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI		SON PC	HUTSON, RICHARD G		
P.O. BOX 10 MINNEAPO		55440-1022		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
,				1652	

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Δn	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			•					
)/602,268 	WILLIAMS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
	T. MAIL ING DATE A		chard G. Hutson	1652				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M consions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common of the properties of the provision of the properties of the prop	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will app will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUNI In no event, however, may a bly and will expire SIX (6) MOI the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 30 Decer	mber 2005.					
2a)□	•		on is non-final.					
3)[<u> </u>							
	closed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex pa</i>	arte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-84</u> is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-8 and 13</u> Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>9-11</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict			ation.				
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 6/23/2003 is/Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	are: a) \(\subseteq access the correction is	ing(s) be held in abeya required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	documents ha documents ha of the priority o nal Bureau (Po	ve been received. ve been received in A locuments have beer CT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nationa	al Stage			
Attachmen	• •		-					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/05;12/05</u> .		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (P'	TO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-84 are still at issue and are present for examination.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group III, Claims 9-12, in the paper of 12/30/2005, is acknowledged.

Claims 1-8 and 13-84 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper."

Applicants filing of information disclosure statements filed on 1/7/2005 and 12/30/2005, are acknowledged. Those references considered have been initialed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 9-11 are directed to all possible purified polypeptides comprising an amino acid sequence that is a mere 80 to 95% identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9, wherein said polypeptide has an undefined function/activity. The specification, however, only provides a single representative species isolated from *M. incognita* having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9 and having phosphoethanolamine n-methyltransferase activity, encompassed by these claims. There is no disclosure of any particular structure to function/activity relationship in the single disclosed species. The specification also fails to describe additional representative species of these enzymes by any identifying structural characteristics or properties other than the activities recited in claims, for which no predictability of function is apparent. Given this lack of additional representative species as encompassed by the claims, Applicants have failed to sufficiently describe the claimed invention, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms that a skilled artisan would recognize Applicants were in possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant is referred to the revised guidelines concerning compliance with the written description requirement of U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, published in the Official Gazette and also available at www.uspto.gov.

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a polypeptide having the complete amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9, wherein said polypeptide has phosphoethanolamine n-methyltransferase activity, does not reasonably provide enablement for any polypeptide having a mere 80% identity to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required, are summarized in In re Wands (858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ 2nd 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988)) as follows: (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2) the amount of direction or guidance presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples, (4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claim(s).

Claims 9-11 are so broad as to encompass any polypeptide having a mere 80% to 95% identity to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9. The scope of the claims is not commensurate with the enablement provided by the disclosure with regard to the extremely large number of polypeptides broadly encompassed by the claims. The claims rejected under this section of U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, do not place any functional limits on the claimed enzymes. Since the amino acid sequence of a protein determines its structural and functional properties, predictability of which changes can

Art Unit: 1652

be tolerated in a protein's amino acid sequence and obtain the desired activity requires a knowledge of and guidance with regard to which amino acids in the protein's sequence, if any, are tolerant of modification and which are conserved (i.e. expectedly intolerant to modification), and detailed knowledge of the ways in which the proteins' structure relates to its function. However, in this case the disclosure is limited to those polypeptides having the complete amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9, wherein said polypeptide has phosphoethanolamine n-methyltransferase activity.

While recombinant and mutagenesis techniques are known, it is not routine in the art to screen for multiple substitutions or multiple modifications, as encompassed by the instant claims, and the positions within a protein's sequence where amino acid modifications can be made with a reasonable expectation of success in obtaining the desired activity/utility are limited in any protein and the result of such modifications is unpredictable. In addition, one skilled in the art would expect any tolerance to modification for a given protein to diminish with each further and additional modification, e.g. multiple substitutions.

The specification does not support the broad scope of the claims which encompass any modification and fragment having a mere 80% identity to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9, because the specification does not establish: (A) regions of the protein structure which may be modified without effecting the desired or phosphoethanolamine n-methyltransferase activity; (B) the general tolerance of phosphoethanolamine n-methyltransferases to modification and extent of such tolerance; (C) a rational and predictable scheme for modifying any amino acid residue

Art Unit: 1652

of a phosphoethanolamine n-methyltransferase polypeptides with an expectation of obtaining the desired biological function; and (D) the specification provides insufficient guidance as to which of the essentially infinite possible choices is likely to be successful. Because of this lack of guidance, the extended experimentation that would be required to determine which substitutions would be acceptable to retain the desired or phosphoethanolamine n-methyltransferase activity and the fact that the relationship between the sequence of a peptide and its tertiary structure (i.e. its activity) are not well understood and are not predictable (e.g., see Ngo et al. in The Protein Folding Problem and Tertiary Structure Prediction, 1994, Merz et al. (ed.), Birkhauser, Boston, MA, pp. 433 and 492-495, Ref: U, Form-892), it would require undue experimentation for one skilled in the art to arrive at the majority of those polypeptides of the claimed genus having the desired activity.

Thus, applicants have not provided sufficient guidance to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the claimed invention in a manner reasonably correlated with the scope of the claims broadly including any polypeptide comprising a mere 80% identity to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9. The scope of the claims must bear a reasonable correlation with the scope of enablement (In re Fisher, 166 USPQ 19 24 (CCPA 1970)). Without sufficient guidance, determination of those polypeptides having the desired biological characteristics is unpredictable and the experimentation left to those skilled in the art is unnecessarily, and improperly, extensive and undue. See In re Wands 858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2nd 1400 (Fed. Cir., 1988).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard G. Hutson whose telephone number is 571-272-0930. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard G Hutson, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1652

rgh 3/7/2006